MEMORANDUM FOR: General Cushman

Attached are:

OMB's report, "A Review of the Intelligence Community"; and

The Agency's comments on the Review, the original of which the Director gave to Jim Schlesinger on 20 April.

L. K. White 30 April 71 (DATE)

Buchlep of # >

FORM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101 WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

STAT

## COMMENTS ON "A REVIEW OF THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY"

- 1. The Review raises, on behalf of the President, a valid basis for examining the intelligence community, with the objectives of improving the overall quality of intelligence products and reducing the community's size and cost. While there may be differences of opinion as to the causes of, and solutions to, the problems the Review describes, it is worth our most serious consideration to see what we can do to bring about the desired improvements.
- and management, and it is here that the most significant improvements can be made. The law, Presidential letters, and other directives have been silent as to the role the Director of Central Intelligence was to play in resource management. Lacking clear direction toward a stronger position regarding resource requirements and allocation, the evaluation of their effectiveness, the selection of new systems, and the phasing out of the old, the Director has had little basis to balance his coordinating authority over substance with a comparable authority over resources.

  The Review quite properly recognizes that nearly eighty-five percent of the total resources are funded and controlled by the Secretary of Defense. The Department of Defense must therefore be very heavily involved in any changes directed toward improvement in resource management and control.

- The Secretary of Defense has made a good beginning in making the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Administration also responsible for coordinating intelligence. In his posture statement of 9 March 1971, the Socretary of Defense recommended the creation of a second Deputy Secretary and two additional Assistant Secretaries of Defense to enhance civilian supervisory management of the Department. An Assistant Secretary devoting his full time to Department of Defense intelligence activities and reporting to one of the two Deputy Secretaries would seem to be a considerable improvement over the present arrangement. This is probably enough legislation to ask for at this time. In fact, the kind of legislation which would be required under either Option I or II of the Review could well lead to a wrangle in the Congress which might in the end emasculate the intelligence effort. There are other drawbacks to Options I and II, but these alone are enough to rule them out as realistic solutions. And the Review itself seems to recognize that Option III has enough built-in problems to minimize it's chances of being very effective. It follows that some variant of these three Options which could be accomplished under existing Presidential authority and without legislation, offers the greatest hope of accomplishing the President's objectives.
- 4. Given the wide deployment of resources, disparate interests, and jurisdictional boundaries within the community, it is very doubtful

that the Director of Central Intelligence can command the entire community. He should, however, under an appropriate mandate be able to coordinate it effectively.

- of the intelligence community, this could be done through a Presidential or National Security Council directive to the DCI, the Secretary of Defense, and the Secretary of State. Such a directive would as a minimum need to provide for DCI coordination of programs, budget preparation, and final review before submission to the President. It would also need to provide for continuous program review and coordination of budget administration. Results would depend in large part on the cooperation of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State and, more importantly, on strong Presidential support.
- 6. The product will never be as good as we would like it to be. It should, however, be as good as we can make it. The Review deals with the possibility of separating production units of CIA from the collectors in order to ensure objectivity. This would be a great mistake. It is administratively quite simple to ensure that the collector is not also the evaluator of the information he collects. The fact that the production components usually have collateral from other, and sometimes several, sources also minimizes this risk. In any case, to disembody the Central

Intelligence Agency and leave the Director of Central Intelligence without command of, and intimate association with, those units upon which
he must depend to support production would take away what control he
now has of the intelligence process. The resultant deterioration of the
process and the product is predictable. It simply isn't viable.

- 7. Some mechanism to bring the consumer closer to the producer is much to be desired, and the Review's suggestion that this might be done through a high-level consumer council has much to commend it.
- 8. If the President should direct that the Director of Central Intelligence assume responsibility for coordinating the resources of the intelligence community in line with the suggestion made herein, it would be necessary for the Director to delegate more of his day-to-day manufacture responsibilities for the Central Intelligence Agency, which is perfectly feasible.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP  TO NAME AND ADDRESS INITIALS DATE  1 DD/P - 2  3 6  ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY RECOMMENDATION SIGNATURE		SENDER WILL CH	ECK CLASSIFICATION	TOP AND B	оттом
OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP  TO NAME AND ADDRESS INITIALS DATE  1 DD/P -  2  3  6  ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATIVE COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks:  For your information and retention. DD/		UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDEN	TIAL	SECRET
1 DD/P - 2 3 6 5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks:  For your information and retention. DD/					
2 3 6 5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks: For your information and retention. DD/	то	NAME AN	D ADDRESS	INITIALS	DATE
3 6 5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks:  For your information and retention. DD/	1	DD/P -			
6  ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks:  For your information and retention. DD/	2				
5 6 ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks:  For your information and retention. DD/	3				
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks:  For your information and retention. DD/	6				
ACTION DIRECT REPLY PREPARE REPLY APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks:  For your information and retention. DD/	5			****	
APPROVAL DISPATCH RECOMMENDATION SIGNATURE  COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks:  For your information and retention. DD/	6				
COMMENT FILE RETURN CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks:  For your information and retention. DD/		ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE	REPLY
CONCURRENCE INFORMATION SIGNATURE  Remarks:  For your information and retention. DD/					
Remarks:  For your information and retention. DD/		COMMENT	FILE	RETURN	
	Rei		INFORMATION	SIGNATU	RE
	Rei	marks: For your	information and		
	Rei	For your	information and		
25X1	Ren	marks:  For your  has also rec	information and	retentio	
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER	Rei	marks:  For your  has also rec	information and eived copies.	retentio	n. DD/
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER FROM: NAME, ADDRESS AND PHONE NO. DATE	Rei	FOLD FROM: NAME,	information and eived copies.	retentio	n. DD/

		104/02 : CIA-RDP86		
	UNCLASSIFIE			SECRE
S	OFFI OFFI	CIAL ROUTING	SLIP	
то	NAME AN	D ADDRESS	DATE	INITIAL
1	ER -			
2				
3				
4			<u> </u>	
5				
6				
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PREPARE	REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH	RECOMM	ENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE		
	CONCHEDENCE		RETURN	
Rer	narks:	INFORMATION	SIGNATU	RE
acc cor giv sai from	I finally got are cess to the Schlamunity. I remember permission d you had had a man IC Staffering seen by anyone it and that Mr	ound to asking the singer study or minded him that to the historians a couple of other. He said he do no who has a general Evans should:	he Direct he he inte he had a s to read requests pesn't mi	or about lligence lready it but s, e.g., nd its
acc cor giv sai from	I finally got are cess to the Schlamunity. I remember permission d you had had a man IC Staffering seen by anyone it and that Mr	ound to asking the lesinger study or minded him that to the historians a couple of other. He said he do one who "has a second to the said he do one who "has a second to the said he do one who "has a second to the said he do one who "has a second to the said he do one who "has a second to the said he do one who "has a second to the said he do one who "has a second to the said he do not the s	he Direct he he inte he had a s to read requests pesn't mi	or about lligence lready it but s, e.g., nd its son" to th judg-
acc cor giv sai from	I finally got are ess to the Schlammunity. I remember permission dyou had had am an IC Staffering seen by anyon it and that Mrint if you have for STAT	ound to asking the singer study or minded him that to the historians a couple of other. He said he do no who has a general Evans should:	he Direct he he inte he had a s to read requests pesn't mi	or about lligence lready it but s, e.g., nd its
acc cor giv sai fro bei	I finally got are cess to the Schlemmunity. I remember permission dyou had had am an IC Staffering seen by anyone it and that Mrant if you have folder	ound to asking the singer study or minded him that to the historians a couple of other. He said he do no who has a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do no who had a gent to the said he do	he Direct he he inter he had a s to read requests pesn't mi good reas make suc	or about lligence lready it but s, e.g., nd its son" to th judg-

Approved For Release 2003/04/02 : CIA-RDP86B00269R000400070001-9

25X1 ~

Get a copy of the Schlesinger/Smith report to the White House entitled "Review of the Intelligence Community" 25X1

Date - around March/April 1971

Classification unknown.

6 E 3 8

	Ann	المصيد	Cor Polosco	<del>2002/</del>	<del>04/09</del>	CIARDPO
0.	SEMDER WILL CHE	2 x 2	CONFIDER			SECRET
	L	CIAL	ROUTING			1 Shows:
то	NAME AND	D ADD	RESS	D	ATE	INITIALS
.1	Helen					
2	Executive Regi	stry				
3						
4						
5						
6						
	ACTION		DIRECT REPLY		PREPAR	E REPLY
	APPROVAL	i	DISPATCH		RECOMN	REHDATION
	COMMENT		FILE		RETURN	
	CONCURRENCE		INFORMATION		SIGNAT	JRE
	narks: Schlesinger Community'' po I would apprec urned to ER wi	er yo ia <b>t</b> e	our request having this		If po	ssible
			TO RETURN TO	) SENI	ext   19 (g)2	DATE
	UNCLASSIFIED	-1	CONFIDE	NTIAL	. 1	SECRET

F09M NO. 237

Use previous editions